ing special attention by' way of re-view of its performance in Cabinet Secretariat.

*' 1485. *[Transferrd in to the 28th Nov-ember,* 1983]

Arrest of Hakim Mohammed Abdur Razzak, Director of the Central Coun- cil for Research in Unani Medicine

1486. SHRI KAILASH PATI MISH RA: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:-

(a)* whether Hakim Mohammed.Ab-dur Razzak, Director of the Central Council for Research in Unani Medi-einc Was arrested on December 10, 1987 on the charge of smuggling contraband gold world Rs. 2.85 lakhs at the I.G.I. Airport, New Delhi, and was produced before the Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate;

(b) the action taken against him Departmentally;

(c) what post his wife is holding in the Ministry and was she appointed under her husband with advance in crements, if so, how many; and

(d) whether Government had re ceived charges of corruption against him and his wife and thereafter an inquiry was made in 1986, if so, with what outcome?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (KUMARI SAROJ KHAPARDE): Yes, Sir.

;.'(b) Hakim M. A. Razzak has been placed under suspension with effect from 12-1-1988 under the provisions of Central Services (Classification, Control and Appeal), Rules as applicable to the employees of the Council for Research in Unani Medicine, New Delhi.

'(c) Hakim Ummal Fazal, Wife of Hakim M. A. Razzak is not holding any post in the Ministry. She was appointed as Research Officer by the Executive Committee of the Central Council for Research in Unani Medi-cine, New Delhi and was given seven advance increments on the basis of her qualification, experience and also with reference to her previous emolu- ments

(d) ,Two complaints were received against Hakim "M. A. Razzak,. The first complaint received from the employees of the Central Council :for Research in Unani Medicine, New Delhi was anonymous. As the allegations made in the complaint were of. general nature, no specific action could be taken in the matter.

The Second. Complaint was from the General Secretary, Unani Physicians Association, Aligarh. The complaint was requested to furnish documetary evidences or an affidavit in support of the allegations. In the absence of any reply from the com-plaint despite reminders, no action could be taken on the complaint.

Capacity of Nnclear Power Stations

1487. SHRI K. V. THANGKABALU: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the total installed capacity of nuclear power stations established and operative as on 1st June, 1987;

(b) the quantum of power actually generated as On date;

(c) the average cost per KW. of power so generated; and

(d) the total quantum of additional nuclear power capacity, Government propose to establish hereafter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF OCEAN DEVELOPMENT, ATOMIC ENERGY, ELECTRONICS AND SPACE (SHRI K. R. NARAYANAN): (a) As on 1st June 1987, a total of 12.30 MWe nuclear power generating capacity has been opera-tional.

(b) *The cumulative gross genera*-tion of power from various operating nuclear power stations since commercial operation till 30th June, 1987 are given belows

Gross generation in Million (Mus)

RAPS	MAPS	TAPS	TOTAL
12363	4992	32326	49687

(c) The present costs of generation (Paise Per KwH) from various power stations are as follows;

RAPS	MAPS	TAPS "
41.14	49.37	43.47

Due for revision from .1.4.1987)

(d) As per current plans, it is pro posed to instoll additional capacity of about 8800 MWe of nuclear power, thus bringing the total installed capa city to 10,000 MWe by the turn of the Century.

Implementation of 20-Point Programme in Tamil Nadu

1488. SHRI K. V. THANGKABALU Will the Minister of PLANNING be pleased to state;

(a) the amount utilised by the Government of Tamil Nadu out of the amount allotted to that State during the last three years for implementa-ion of 20-Point Programme;

(b) whether any review was con-ducted by the Union Government or, by any agency regarding implementation of *the* Programme at the village level during the period; and

.(c) if so, the details thereof and the performance of Tamil Nadu Go- vernment in comparision. to other States during the last three years?

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING , AND THE MINISTER OF ' PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI. MADHAVSINH SOLANKI): (a) The amount allocated in the State Plan and the amount utilised by the Government of Tamil Nadu during the last three years' for implementation *ot* 20-Point Programme are given below:

Years Allocation , Utilisation 1985-86 6O7.92 " ' " 606.38 r986-87 754.63 2 bou 69.56 1987.88 477.82 532.43 Provisional

(b) and (c) No review has been conducted by the Union Government regarding implementation of Programme at the village level. However, on the basis of State-wise reviews, a statement indicating the rank secured by Tamil Nadu in comparison to other States during the last three years is enclosed.

Statement s

Implementation of 20-point Programme-Ranking of State.

Sl.	State No.	1985-86	886-87	1987-88
1	Punjab	1	1	*1*
2	Uttar Pradesh	1	1	1
3	Maharashtra	5-	1	3
4	Orissa	14	*4*	3
5	Gujarat	5-		5
6	Tamil Nadu	4	9	5
7	Karnataka .	11	1	7
8	Kerala.	.19	20	7
9	Rajasthan .	1	8	
10	Himachal Pradesh 11 Madhya Pradesh	8 *n*	I 1	10 10:
12	Bihar	16	15	12
13	Sikkim	8	1	12
14	Goa *	-		14
15	Andhra Pradesh	10	10	15